

Transmission of Grapevine Leafroll-associated Virus 3 by Vine Mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret), to Grapevines Treated with Imidacloprid

E. Allsopp

ARC Infruitec-Nietvoorbij¹, Private Bag X5026, 7599 Stellenbosch, South Africa

Submitted for publication: November 2014

Accepted for publication: December 2014

Key words: Grapevine leafroll virus, vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus*, virus transmission

Grapevine leafroll disease is widely accepted to be the most damaging grapevine virus disease in South Africa. The current industry strategy to limit leafroll infection in new vineyards includes treating newly planted grapevines with a systemic insecticide like imidacloprid and rogueing all grapevines that exhibit leafroll symptoms. A tendency by some producers to eschew rogueing and rely solely on imidacloprid applications to control vine mealybug and so protect new vineyards from leafroll infection gave rise to this study. Two bioassays were conducted to determine if *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret) nymphs can transmit grapevine leafroll-associated virus 3 (GLRaV-3) to potted indicator grapevines treated with imidacloprid applied through the soil five months before exposure to viruliferous mealybugs. Ten of 21 leafroll-free grapevines treated with imidacloprid tested positive for GLRaV-3 seven to eight weeks after being exposed to viruliferous first- and second-instar *P. ficus* nymphs. This means that the systemic applications of imidacloprid to newly planted, virus-free vineyards will not necessarily protect the vines from GLRaV-3 if they become infested by viruliferous vine mealybugs, although the insecticide provides effective mealybug control and helps to prevent the secondary spread of leafroll infection in these vineyards. To control the spread of leafroll virus to newly planted, leafroll-free vineyards, all grapevines that serve as sources of infection should be removed. Where this is not feasible, vectors should be monitored and controlled rigorously in infested and disease-free vineyards alike, while all infected grapevines should be removed from new vineyards as they begin to develop leafroll symptoms.

INTRODUCTION

Grapevine leafroll disease is widely accepted to be the most damaging grapevine virus disease in South Africa, with grapevine leafroll-associated virus 3 (GLRaV-3) the most prevalent leafroll-associated virus (Pietersen, 2006). The vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), is the most common and efficient vector of GLRaV-3 in South Africa (Walton & Pringle, 2004; Douglas & Krüger, 2008). Currently, the industry strategy to limit leafroll infection in newly planted, leafroll-free vineyards in South Africa is based on a multi-pronged approach that includes treating young grapevines with a systemic insecticide like imidacloprid shortly after planting; monitoring for leafroll symptoms in these vineyards over two seasons, and removing all leafroll-infected grapevines, known as rogueing (Pietersen, 2006); monitoring and controlling mealybug in adjacent leafroll-infected vineyards where rogueing is not feasible; and ensuring that workers and

equipment do not move from mealybug-infested vineyards to the virus-free vineyards. Concern was raised when it was noticed that, in an effort to reduce costs, some producers no longer applied mealybug control in adjacent leafroll-infected vineyards or the rogueing of symptomatic vines, but relied solely on imidacloprid applications to control mealybug in newly planted vineyards and to keep them free from infection by GLRaV-3.

Imidacloprid belongs to the class of chemicals known as neonicotinoids. It acts on the central nervous system of insects by blocking the nicotinic neuronal pathway, which interferes with signal transmission. When applied as a systemic treatment, imidacloprid has to be ingested by the target insect before it takes effect. Within minutes to hours after ingestion, imidacloprid causes the target insects to stop feeding and death generally occurs within 24 to 48 h, although it may take several days (Anonymous, 2012). Tsai *et al.* (2008) showed that first-instar grapevine mealybug

¹ The Fruit, Vine and Wine Institute of the Agricultural Research Council

Corresponding author: E-mail address: allsoppe@arc.agric.za

Acknowledgements: The author wishes to thank Levocia Williams and Lydia Maart of the Pest Management Division at the ARC Infruitec-Nietvoorbij for technical assistance, and Marika van der Merwe and Elize Jooste of the ARC-Plant Protection Research Institute for the PCR analyses. Funding for this research was provided by Winetech and the Agricultural Research Council.

nymphs can acquire GLRaV-3 and inoculate uninfected grapevines within one hour. Douglas and Krüger (2008) demonstrated that a single nymph of *P. ficus* or *Pseudococcus longispinus* can infect a healthy grapevine with GLRaV-3. In a review of grapevine leafroll management, Almeida *et al.* (2013) cautioned that, although systemic insecticides may reduce mealybug populations very effectively, they may not necessarily protect grapevines from virus spread.

The aim of this investigation was to determine if *P. ficus* nymphs can transmit GLRaV-3 to indicator grapevines treated with imidacloprid applied as a soil drench.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioassay 1

Twelve Cabernet franc grapevines at the beginning of bud burst (BBCH scale 007) and free from GLRaV-3 were obtained from Vititec (Pty) Ltd Plant Improvement Organisation (Paarl, South Africa) in September 2012. They were planted in 12 L (30 cm diameter) plastic pots containing a sand/bark potting medium mixture. Three weeks after budding, when the first leaves began to unfold, 1.5 mL of imidacloprid (Confidor® 350 SC, supplied by Bayer CropScience) in 250 mL of water was applied to each of 10 grapevines as a soil drench according to the registration holder's instructions. Two plants were kept as untreated controls, the number being limited by the availability of indicator plants. Plants were allowed to grow in an insect-proof enclosure at the Vititec nursery until February 2013. The exposure of the indicator plants to viruliferous *P. ficus* nymphs took place in February because the virus concentration in the virus source vines would be sufficient to optimise virus acquisition by the mealybug nymphs. It also was considered to be a reasonable reflection of the real-life situation, since *P. ficus* populations in vineyards and the virus concentration in leafroll-infected grapevines begin to peak at this time of the season, thereby creating favourable conditions for virus transmission.

Egg sacks from a *P. ficus* culture maintained on butternut squash at the ARC Infruitec-Nietvoorbij were placed on the leaves of Cabernet Sauvignon shoots collected from two grapevines on the Nietvoorbij research farm. Both grapevines tested positive for the presence of GLRaV-3 with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) performed by Vititec prior to the commencement of the bioassay. Hatched nymphs were allowed to feed on these leaves for 48 h, after which they were transferred to the Cabernet franc indicator vines treated with imidacloprid and the two untreated vines. Small leaf sections containing nymphs were used to transfer the nymphs without disturbance or injury. As the leaf sections desiccated, the nymphs moved freely onto the Cabernet franc leaves. Groups of five nymphs were placed on six marked leaves of each of the experimental vines (30 nymphs per plant). The treated and control plants were maintained in separate insect-rearing rooms with natural light at 25°C and 60% relative humidity.

After 72 h the plants were sprayed with malathion to kill all the mealybugs still alive. Plants were kept in a glasshouse for seven weeks to allow sufficient time for virus multiplication. After seven weeks (at the onset of senescence, BBCH scale 991), the petioles of the leaves on which mealybug nymphs were placed were collected and sent to

the Virology Unit of the ARC-Plant Protection Research Institute (PPRI) at Roodeplaat near Pretoria. Nested reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed according to the method of Ling *et al.* (2001) to determine if GLRaV-3 was present in the samples. The remaining leaves of the experimental vines were sent to an independent laboratory (Hearshaw & Kinnes) to determine the concentration of imidacloprid in the leaves.

Bioassay 2

Thirty Cabernet franc grapevines at the beginning of bud burst (BBCH-scale 007) and free from GLRaV-3 were obtained from Vititec in September 2013 and planted in 12 L (30 cm diameter) plastic pots containing a sand/bark potting medium mixture. Three weeks after budding, when the first leaves began to unfold, 0.75 g of imidacloprid (Confidor® 70 WG, supplied by Bayer CropScience) in 250 mL of water was applied to each of 20 grapevines as a soil drench according to the registration holder's instructions. Ten Cabernet franc indicator vines, not treated with imidacloprid, were kept aside as untreated controls. Plants were allowed to grow in an insect-proof enclosure at the Vititec nursery until February 2014.

In February 2014, petiole samples of the 20 imidacloprid treated and 10 untreated vines were sent to the ARC-PPRI Virology Unit to be tested for the presence of GLRaV-3. Samples were tested using ELISA, and those with borderline results were re-tested using nested RT-PCR. All plants tested negative for GLRaV-3.

Egg sacks from the *P. ficus* culture maintained on butternut squash at the ARC Infruitec-Nietvoorbij were placed on the leaves of vine shoots collected from the same leafroll-infected grapevines at the Nietvoorbij research farm used in Bioassay 1. Hatched nymphs were allowed to feed on these leaves for 48 h, after which they were transferred to the 20 indicator plants treated with imidacloprid, using the same leaf section method as in Bioassay 1. Groups of five nymphs were placed on six leaves of each of the 20 treated vines (30 nymphs per plant). The 10 untreated control plants were not exposed to mealybugs. Treated and untreated plants were maintained in separate insect-rearing rooms with natural light at 25°C and 60% relative humidity.

After 72 h the treated plants were sprayed with malathion to kill any remaining mealybugs. Plants were kept in a glasshouse for eight weeks to allow sufficient time for virus multiplication. After eight weeks (at the onset of senescence, BBCH scale 991), the petioles of the leaves on which *P. ficus* nymphs were placed and petioles from the 10 untreated control vines (five petioles per plant) were collected and sent to the ARC-PPRI Virology Unit. Nested RT-PCR was performed to determine if GLRaV-3 was present in the samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bioassay 1

Visual observation revealed that some *P. ficus* nymphs were still alive after 72 h, although no longer moving or feeding actively. Chemical analysis by an independent laboratory confirmed that 5 ppm imidacloprid was present in the leaves of the treated grapevines at the end of the bioassay. According

to information supplied by Bayer CropScience, this is well above the lethal concentration of 0.7 ppm determined for citrus mealybug (Ralf Nauen, personal communication, 2012). The results from the nested RT-PCR showed that seven of the 10 grapevines treated with imidacloprid and one of the two untreated vines tested positive for GLRaV-3.

Bioassay 2

Eight weeks after exposure to *P. ficus* nymphs, when the final petiole samples were taken, the leaves on which mealybugs were released of four of the imidacloprid-treated plants had already senesced to such an extent that the petioles were no longer suitable for virus testing. Five more samples were lost in transit to the ARC-PPRI Virology Unit. Petiole samples from three of the eleven remaining imidacloprid-treated plants tested positive for GLRaV-3, while all of the untreated control plants tested negative. This confirms that virus transmission by *P. ficus* nymphs was responsible for the treated plants testing positive for GLRaV-3.

The results of the two bioassays show that first- and second-instar nymphs of *P. ficus* are able to transmit GLRaV-3 to grapevines before the imidacloprid applied five months earlier took sufficient effect to stop them feeding. Similar results have been found with other sucking insects. Rubinstein *et al.* (1999) showed that viruliferous whiteflies, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius), were able to inoculate imidacloprid-treated tomato plants with tomato yellow leaf curl virus (Family: *Geminiviridae*) before they died. Jacobson and Kennedy (2013) found no reduction in the transmission of tomato spotted wilt virus (Family: *Bunyaviridae*) by western flower thrips (*Frankliniella occidentalis* Pergande) to banana pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) plants treated with imidacloprid.

The possibility that the presence of imidacloprid in a grapevine and variation in its concentration in a vine during the season may affect virus transmission efficacy and transmission rate by *P. ficus* nymphs falls beyond the scope of this study, but warrants further investigation.

The result of this investigation has important implications for leafroll management because it has demonstrated that soil applications of imidacloprid to newly planted grapevines will not prevent the spread of grapevine leafroll disease to these vines if leafroll-infected grapevines remain in adjacent vineyards and vectors are not adequately controlled there. This underscores the importance of rogueing infected grapevines that act as sources of infestation and controlling insect vectors, as advocated by Almeida *et al.* (2013) and Pietersen *et al.* (2013), for an integrated approach to control the spread of leafroll disease. Ideally, all leafroll-infected grapevines in existing vineyards that serve as virus sources should be removed if new vineyards are to be kept virus free. Where this is not possible, rigorous monitoring and control of vine mealybug and other vectors in infected vineyards, as well as in newly planted vineyards, should be combined with the rogueing of all grapevines that begin to show leafroll symptoms in newly planted vineyards. Imidacloprid should be applied to newly planted vines as a soil drench to prevent the secondary spread of leafroll in these vineyards.

Imidacloprid's long persistence in plants and the fact that it binds virtually irreversibly to the postsynaptic nicotinic

acetyl-choline receptors in an insect's central nervous system mean that, even when the concentration of imidacloprid in a plant falls below the lethal concentration, prolonged feeding results in the accumulation of a lethal dose that eventually kills the insect (Tennekes, 2010; Anonymous, 2012). This explains why imidacloprid provides effective mealybug control over a long period when applied to the soil. Because of this long residual action, and to save on costs for mealybug control, some producers were found to rely only on systemic applications of imidacloprid every year or even every second year. However, prolonged exposure to sub-lethal doses of imidacloprid in host plants increases the risk of resistance to the insecticide developing. For this reason it is imperative to alternate imidacloprid with insecticides that have a different mode of action in an anti-resistance strategy.

CONCLUSIONS

This investigation has shown that grapevine mealybug nymphs can transmit GLRaV-3 to potted grapevines treated with imidacloprid applied as a soil drench shortly after bud burst, i.e. five months prior to exposure to viruliferous mealybugs. Systemic applications of imidacloprid to newly planted, virus-free vineyards therefore will not necessarily protect the vines from transmission of GLRaV-3 if they become infested by viruliferous *P. ficus* from adjacent vineyards. Imidacloprid does, however, provide effective mealybug control and remains a useful tool to prevent the secondary spread of leafroll disease within newly planted vineyards.

To control the spread of leafroll virus to newly planted, leafroll-free vineyards, all leafroll-infected grapevines in surrounding vineyards that serve as virus sources should be removed. Where this is not feasible, vectors should be monitored and controlled rigorously in both the infected and disease-free vineyards, while all infected grapevines should be removed from new vineyards as they begin to develop leafroll symptoms. Producers should alternate imidacloprid with insecticides that have different modes of action as part of an anti-resistance strategy to prevent mealybugs from developing resistance to the product.

LITERATURE CITED

- Almeida, R.P.P., Daane, K.M., Bell, V.A., Blaisdell, G.K., Cooper, M.L., Herrbach, E. & Pietersen, G., 2013. Ecology and management of grapevine leafroll disease. *Frontiers in Microbiol.* 4, 1-13.
- Anonymous, 2012. Imidacloprid – Expert Overview – Bayer. Available at http://www.apiservices.com/articles/us/imidacloprid_bayer.htm (26 February 2013).
- Douglas, N. & Krüger, K., 2008. Transmission efficiency of grapevine leafroll-associated virus 3 (GLRaV-3) by the mealybugs *Planococcus ficus* and *Pseudococcus longispinus* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 122, 201-212.
- Jacobson, A.L. & Kennedy, G.C., 2013. Effect of cyantraniliprole on feeding behaviour and virus transmission of *Frankliniella fusca* and *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) on *Capsicum annuum*. *Crop Prot.* 54, 251-258.
- Ling K.-S., Zhu, H.-Y., Petrovic, N. & Gonsalves, D., 2001. Comparative effectiveness of ELISA and RT-PCR for detecting grapevine leafroll-associated closterovirus-3 in field samples. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 52, 21-27.

- Pietersen, G., 2006. Spatio-temporal distribution dynamics of grapevine leafroll disease in Western Cape vineyards. Extended abstracts of the 15th Meeting of the International Council for the Study of Virus and Virus-like Diseases of the Grapevine, April 2006, Stellenbosch, South Africa. pp. 126 – 127.
- Pietersen, G., Spreeth, N., Oosthuizen, T., Van Rensburg, A., Van Rensburg, M., Lottering, D., Rossouw, N. & Tooth, D., 2013. Control of grapevine leafroll disease spread at a commercial wine estate in South Africa: A case study. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 64, 296-306.
- Rubinstein, G., Morin, S. & Czosnek, H., 1999. Transmission of tomato yellow leaf curl geminivirus to imidacloprid treated tomato plants by the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 92, 658-662.
- Tennekes, H.A., 2010. The significance of the Druckrey-Küpfmüller equation for risk assessment – The toxicity of neonicotinoid insecticides to arthropods is reinforced by exposure time. *Toxicol.* 276, 1-4.
- Tsai, C.-W., Chau, J., Fernandez, L., Bosco, D., Daane, K.M. & Almeida, R.P.P., 2008. Transmission of grapevine leafroll-associated virus 3 by the vine mealybug (*Planococcus ficus*). *Phytopathol.* 98, 1093-1098.
- Walton, V.M. & Pringle, K.L., 2004. Vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), a key pest in South African vineyards. A review. *S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic.* 25, 54-62.